

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7368
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
AMED ISAAC GARCIA MORA,)
)
a/k/a Antonio Gonzalez,)
)
a/k/a Marco Antonio Lemus,)
)
a/k/a Amed Isacc Garcia,)
)
a/k/a Clemente Nova Gomez,)
)
Defendant.)

No. CR 10-0848 JSW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

On November 19, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to November 29, 2010. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between November 19, 2010 and November 29, 2010, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18

///

1 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

2 SO STIPULATED:

3
4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

5
6 DATED: November 29, 2010

7 /s/
LOWELL C. POWELL
Special Assistant United States Attorney

8
9 DATED: November 29, 2010

10 /s/
RITA BOSWORTH
Attorney for AMED ISAAC GARCIA MORA

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the November 19, 2010 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from November 19, 2010 through November 29, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/29/10

